Office of Evidence Based Practice - Specific Care Question: Latex vs. Silicone Urinary Catheters

Specific Care Question:

In the child who has a urinary catheter for drainage which catheter, latex or silicone has less cuffing of the catheter balloon when the catheter is removed?

Question Originator:

Kathy Mick, M. Ed, RN, CPN

Plain Language Summary from The Office of Evidence Based Practice: Summary: The latex catheters have less residual balloon cuff after deflation. However, the decision to use silicone catheters was probably made on more than one criterion. The risk of latex allergy in the population we serve and in health care providers is a factor in the decision to use silicone urinary catheters.

EBP Scholar's responsible for analyzing the literature:

Ashley Havlena, BSN, RN

Marilyn Maddox, RN-BC, MSN, CCRN

Jarrod Dusin, MS, RD, LD, CNSC

EBP team member responsible for reviewing, synthesizing, and developing this literature:

Nancy H. Allen, MS, MLS, RD, LD, CNSC

Search Strategy and Results:

(("Urinary Catheterization/adverse effects"[Mesh] OR "Urinary Catheterization/methods"[Mesh]) AND "Silicones"[Mesh]) AND "Latex"[Mesh] 25 articles were located, and seven were selected by the question originator after reviewing titles and abstracts of the located articles. Seven articles were identified for further analysis. Four of the seven articles are included in this review.

Studies included in this review:

Four studies are included in this review.

Chung, E., & So, K. (2012). In vitro analysis of balloon cuffing phenomenon: inherent biophysical properties of catheter material or mechanics of catheter balloon deflation? *Surg Innov*, 19(2), 175-180. doi: 10.1177/1553350611399589 [pii]

Evans, A., Godfrey, H., & Fraczyk, L. (2001). An audit of problems associated with urinary catheter withdrawal. *Br J Community Nurs, 6*(10), 511-512, 514-516, 518-519. doi: <ARTICLE_ID IdType=""/> [pii]

Lawrence, E. L., & Turner, I. G. (2006). Kink, flow and retention properties of urinary catheters part 1: conventional foley catheters. *J Mater Sci Mater Med*, *17*(2), 147-152. doi: 10.1007/s10856-006-6818-0

Parkin, J., Scanlan, J., Woolley, M., Grover, D., Evans, A., & Feneley, R. C. (2002). Urinary catheter 'deflation cuff' formation: clinical audit and quantitative in vitro analysis. *BJU Int*, *90*(7), 666-671. doi: 3014 [pii]

Studies <u>not</u> included in this review with rationale for exclusion:

Study identifier	Reason for exclusion
Gonzalgo & Walsh (2003)	Narrative review
Hardwicke, Jones & Wilson-Jones (2010)	Does not answer the question
Robinson (2003)	Nursing skills paper

Method Used for Appraisal and Synthesis:

The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) and

Oxman A. D., Cook D. J., Guyatt G. H., Users' guides to the medical literature. VI. How to use an overview. JAMA 1994; 272 (17): 1367-1371

Created: August 28 2013 Updated: November 5 2013



Office of Evidence Based Practice – Specific Care Question: Latex vs. Silicone Urinary Catheters

Synthesis of relevant studies:

Oynthesis of i	elevant Studie				
Author, date, country, and industry of funding	Patient Group	Level of Evidence (Oxford) / Strength of Evidence (GRADE)	Research design	Significant results	Limitations
Chung & So, 2012	A total of 300 urinary catheters Silicone Bardex (Bard, Covington, GA) Bard-Lubri-Sil(Bard, Covington, GA) Argyle (Tyco, Argyle, NY) Releen (Coloplast, Mount Waverley, Australia) Hydrogel-coated latex catheter Biocath (Bard, Covington, GA)	(GRADE) Bench study	10 ml of sterile water was used to inflate the catheter balloon Each catheter was immersed in 1. sterile urine 2. E.Coli inoculated urine media at body tem for 1, 14, and 28 days During each study interval time 20 urinary catheters of each material were deflated with one of the four different methods of deflation (5 each) 1. active deflation of balloon- deflated within 5 seconds 2. passive deflation very slow active deflation over 30 seconds 3. passive autodeflation by attaching an empty syringe and allow for	Catheter balloon volume loss: the greatest amount of volume loss was with Bardex (silicone coated latex), the least volume loss was with the Releen (silicone) catheter Catheter type and cuffing: Bardex, Argyle, and Biocath showed greater degree of catheter balloon cuffing than Bard-Lubri-Sil and Releen. Bardex had the most significant amount of cuffing (100%)by 28, and cuffing was most pronounced in the infected urine media Argyle and Biocath had 80% cuffing that was worse in the infected media Balloon Deflation methods: At day 1, 14 and 28 of catheterization, there was no difference in the degree of balloon cuffing. There was a significant increase in balloon cuffing as catheters were deflated at day 1, 14 and 28. Infected urine media did not	Bench study- in vitro technique
			gentle auto deflation 4. excision of the balloon inflow	significantly increase balloon cuffing compared to sterile urine.	



Office of Evidence Based Practice – Specific Care Question: Latex vs. Silicone Urinary Catheters

			channel		
Evans, Godfrey, & Fraczyk, 2001	One questionn aire was completed for each subject who ahd a long term indwelling catheter, in situ for > 28 days	Level 4 cohort	An audit questionnaire was sent to 37 nursing units in West England	154 questionnaires were returned. Catheter type Hydrogel- N= 129 (84%) All silicone catheters N = 20 (13%) PTFE (Teflon coated catheters) N= 5 (3%) Problems with removal N=22 (14%) had problems with catheter removal All silicone catheters N=15 (68% of the 22 with removal problems)	Survey. Do not know status of non-responders.
Parkin et al., 2002	Laboratory follow up to Evans (Evans, et al., 2001) above 12 catheters studied, 3 hydrogel coated latex, and the rest silicone from 3 brands	Bench study A profilometer was used to measure the pressure of a suprapubic tract. Force measureme nts of 0.5N were applied at intervals of 30s until the friction force was overcome and the tube removed from the apparatus.	An in vitro study	The friction forces were similar among catheters. Retention forces were greater by up to 200% in the all silicone catheters compared to the hydrogel coated latex catheters.(1.5-3 N (Newtons))	In vitro

Office of Evidence Based Practice – Specific Care Question: Latex vs. Silicone Urinary Catheters

Lawrence &	Laboratory,	Bench study	Kinkablity was	The all-silicone device had	In <i>vitro</i>
Turner,	no human	Three types of	tested by	superior resistance to kinking	
2006	subjects	commerciall	manometer	and better flow properties	
		y available	Retention was	than the latex-based	
		urinary	measured with	catheters.	
		catheters were tested.	force required to remove the	Have a supertar retartion	
		were tested.	catheter form a	However, greater retention forces were recorded for the	
			"retention rig"	all-silicone device, in both the	
			i otomion ng	inflated and deflated	
				condition, indicating that much	
				more force would be required	
				to remove the this type of	
				catheter.	

